The Annals of Applied Probability
1996, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1041–1043

SAMPLE QUANTILES OF STOCHASTIC PROCESSES WITH STATIONARY AND INDEPENDENT INCREMENTS

BY ANGELOS DASSIOS

London School of Economics

The purpose of this note is to obtain a representation of the distribution of the α-quantile of a process with stationary and independent increments as the sum of the supremum and the infimum of two rescaled independent copies of the process. This representation has already been proved for a Brownian motion. The proof is based on already known discrete time results.

1. Introduction. Let $(X(t),\ t \geq 0)$ be a process with stationary and independent increments with $X(0) = 0$ and consider the version with paths in $D[0, \infty)$ (see [2], page 306). For $0 < \alpha < 1$, define the α-quantile of $(X(s),\ 0 \leq s \leq t)$ by

$$M(\alpha, t) = \inf\left\{x: \int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) \leq x)\, ds > \alpha t\right\}.$$

Our main result is the following theorem

THEOREM 1. *Let $X^{(1)}(t)$ and $X^{(2)}(t)$ be independent copies of $X(t)$. Then,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} M(\alpha, t) \\ X(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \alpha t} X^{(1)}(s) + \inf_{0 \leq s \leq (1-\alpha)t} X^{(2)}(s) \\ X^{(1)}(\alpha t) + X^{(2)}((1-\alpha)t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(in law)}.$$

This result was obtained for the special case when $X(t)$ is a Brownian motion by Dassios [4] and Embrechts, Rogers and Yor [5]. Using this result, one could calculate an expression for the joint probability density of $M(\alpha, t)$ and $X(t)$. The motivation for these calculations was a problem in mathematical finance, the pricing of the so-called α-quantile (α-percentile) options. For the pricing of these options, see [1, 4, 6].

To prove our theorem, we will use a similar discrete time result, obtained some time ago by Wendel [9] and Port [7].

2. Discrete time results. Consider the sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$. For integers $0 \leq j \leq n$, define the (j, n)th quantile of $\mathbf{x}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ by $M_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf\{z: \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{1}(x_i \leq z) > j\}$.

Received May 1995; revised September 1995, April 1996.
AMS 1991 *subject classifications.* **PLEASE SUPPLY**
Key words and phrases. Sample quantiles, exchangeability, stationary and independent increments, look-back financial options.

In particular, $M_{0,n}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{i=0,1,\ldots,n}(x_i)$ and $M_{n,n}(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{i=0,1,\ldots,n}\{x_i\}$. This definition coincides with the one in [7].

The following result is due to Wendel [9].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Define $\mathbf{X} = (X_0, X_1, \ldots)$ by $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$ be two independent copies of $\mathbf{X}$. Then,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{j,n}(\mathbf{X}) \\ X_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{j,j}(\mathbf{X}^{(1)}) + M_{0,n-j}(\mathbf{X}^{(2)}) \\ X_j^{(1)} + X_{n-j}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\textit{in law}). \tag{2.1}$$

Wendel actually states this result in characteristic function form. An extension of this result, involving the time the quantile is achieved, was obtained by Port [7]. A careful reading of Port's proof can persuade the reader that the result is actually true where $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ are exchangeable random variables, with $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$ replaced by $(0, X_1, \ldots, X_j)$ and $\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$ by $(0, X_{j+1} - X_j, \ldots, X_n - X_j)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Define the occupation time $L(x,t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) \le x)\, ds$. We have that

$$\Pr(M(\alpha,t) \le x,\ X(t) \le a) = \Pr(L(x,t) > \alpha t,\ X(t) \le a). \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly for the discrete time process $\mathbf{X}$ define $L_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{1}(x_i \le x)$. We then have

$$\Pr\big(M_{j,n}(\mathbf{X}) \le x,\ X_n \le a\big) = \Pr\big(L_n(x) > j,\ X_n \le a\big). \tag{3.2}$$

Without loss of generality, we will prove Theorem 1 for $t = 1$. Let $(X(s), 0 \le s \le 1)$ be as in the Introduction, and for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ set $X_r = X(r/n)$. The process $(X_{[ns]},\ 0 \le s \le 1)$ converges weakly to $(X(s),\ 0 \le s \le 1)$. Since $\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}(X(s) \le x)\, ds$ is a continuous functional of $(X(s),\ 0 \le s \le 1)$, we conclude that for all $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $\Pr(L(x,t) > \alpha,\ X(t) \le a)$ is continuous,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr(L_n(x) > [n\alpha],\ X_n \le a) = \Pr(L(x,1) > \alpha,\ X(1) \le a). \tag{3.3}$$

Take $X_r^{(1)} = X(r/n)$ for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, [n\alpha]$, and $X_r^{(2)} = X(r + [n\alpha]/n) - X([n\alpha]/n)$ for $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n - [n\alpha]$. Then $(M_{[n\alpha],[n\alpha]}(\mathbf{X}^{(1)}), X_{[n\alpha]})$ converges in distribution to $(\sup_{0\le s\le\alpha} X(s), X(\alpha))$ and $(M_{0,n-[n\alpha]}(\mathbf{X}^{(2)}), X_n - X_{[n\alpha]})$ converges in distribution to $(\inf_{0\le s\le 1-\alpha}(X(s+\alpha) - X(\alpha)), X(1) - X(\alpha))$. We note that $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{(2)}$ are independent and so from (2.1), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} M(\alpha,1) \\ X(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sup_{0\le s\le\alpha} X(s) + \inf_{0\le s\le(1-\alpha)} (X(\alpha+s) - X(\alpha)) \\ X(\alpha) + X(1) - X(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{in law}). \tag{3.4}$$

Taking $X^{(1)}(s) = X(s)$ and $X^{(2)}(s) = X(\alpha + s) - X(a)$ completes the proof of the theorem.

Equation (3.4) is also true if $(X(t),\ t \geq 0)$ is a process with exchangeable increments. If $(X(t),\ t \geq 0)$ is defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \Pr)$, according to [8], Theorem 1, page 202, there exists a nontrivial σ-algebra $\mathscr{F}_1 \subset \mathscr{F}$ such that $(X(t),\ t \geq 0)$ has stationary and independent increments with respect to $\mathscr{F}_1$. This was first proved by Bühlman [3]. Conditioning on $\mathscr{F}_1$ and taking expectations, we obtain (3.4).

Acknowledgment. The author thanks the referee for pointing out important references.

REFERENCES

[1] AKAHORI, J. (1995). Some formulae for a new type of path-dependent option. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5** 383–388.

[2] BREIMAN, L. (1968). *Probability*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.

[3] BÜHLMANN, H. (1960). Austauschbare stochastische variabeln und ihre gremzwertsaetze. *Univ. California Publications in Statistics* **3** 1–36.

[4] DASSIOS, A. (1995). The distribution of the quantiles of a Brownian motion with drift and the pricing of related path-dependent options. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5** 389–398.

[5] EMBRECHTS, P., ROGERS, L. C. G. and YOR, M. (1995). A proof of Dassios' representation of the α-quantile of Brownian motion with drift. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5** 757–767.

[6] MIURA, R. (1992). A note on a look-back option on order statistics. *Hitotsubashi Journal of Commerce and Management* **27** 15–28.

[7] PORT, S. C. (1963). An elementary probability approach to fluctuation theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **6** 109–151.

[8] TAKÁCS, L. (1967). *Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York.

[9] WENDEL, J. G. (1960). Order statistics of partial sums. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **31** 1034–1044.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
HOUGHTON STREET
LONDON WC2A 2AE
UNITED KINGDOM
E-MAIL: dassios@statserv.lse.ac.uk

References

[1] **Some Formulae for a New Type of Path-Dependent Option**
Jirô Akahori
The Annals of Applied Probability, Vol. 5, No. 2. (May, 1995), pp. 383-388.
Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=1050-5164%28199505%295%3A2%3C383%3ASFFANT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-6

[4] **The Distribution of the Quantile of a Brownian Motion with Drift and the Pricing of Related Path-Dependent Options**
Angelos Dassios
The Annals of Applied Probability, Vol. 5, No. 2. (May, 1995), pp. 389-398.
Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=1050-5164%28199505%295%3A2%3C389%3ATDOTQO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-P

[5] **A Proof of Dassios' Representation of the |alpha-Quantile of Brownian Motion with Drift**
P. Embrechts; L. C. G. Rogers; M. Yor
The Annals of Applied Probability, Vol. 5, No. 3. (Aug., 1995), pp. 757-767.
Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=1050-5164%28199508%295%3A3%3C757%3AAPODRO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-L

[9] **Order Statistics of Partial Sums**
J. G. Wendel
The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 31, No. 4. (Dec., 1960), pp. 1034-1044.
Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0003-4851%28196012%2931%3A4%3C1034%3AOSOPS%3E2.0.CO%3B2-0