

# On the quantiles of the Brownian motion and their hitting times.\*

Angelos Dassios<sup>†</sup>  
London School of Economics

September 2002

## Abstract

The distribution of the  $\alpha$ -quantile of a Brownian motion on an interval  $[0, t]$  has been obtained motivated by a problem in financial mathematics. In this paper we generalise these results by calculating an explicit expression for the joint density of the  $\alpha$ -quantile of a standard Brownian motion, its first and last hitting times and the value of the process at time  $t$ . Our results can be easily generalised for a Brownian motion with drift. It is shown that the first and last hitting times follow a transformed arcsine law.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(X(s), s \geq 0)$  be a real valued stochastic process on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \text{Pr})$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , define the  $\alpha$ -quantile of the path of  $(X(s), s \geq 0)$  up to a fixed time  $t$  by

$$M_X(\alpha, t) = \inf \left\{ x : \int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) \leq x) ds > \alpha t \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The study of the quantiles of various stochastic processes has been recently undertaken as a response to a problem arising in the field of mathematical finance, the pricing of a particular path-dependent financial option; see Miura [6], Akahori [1] and Dassios [2]. This involves calculating quantities

---

\***Keywords:** Brownian motion, Sample quantiles, hitting times, arcsine law.

<sup>†</sup>**Address:** Dept. of Statistics, London School of Economics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, U.K. email: A.Dassios@lse.ac.uk

such as  $E(h(M_X(\alpha, t)))$ , where  $h(x) = (e^x - b)^+$  or some other appropriate function. This requires obtaining the distribution of  $X(t)$ . In the case where  $(X(s), s \geq 0)$  is a Lévy process (having stationary and independent increments) the following result was obtained:

Let  $X^{(1)}(s)$  and  $X^{(2)}(s)$  be independent copies of  $X(s)$ . Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_X(\alpha, t) \\ X(t) \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \leq s \leq \alpha t \sup X^{(1)}(s) + 0 \leq s \leq (1 - \alpha) t \inf X^{(2)}(s) \\ X^{(1)}(\alpha t) + X^{(2)}((1 - \alpha)t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

When  $(X(s), s \geq 0)$  is a Brownian motion, we can use this result and obtain an explicit formula for the joint density of  $M_X(\alpha, t)$  and  $X(t)$ . This result was first proved for a Brownian motion with drift; see Dassios [2] and Embrechts, Rogers and Yor [4] and for Lévy processes by Dassios [3]. There is also a similar result for discrete time random walks first proved by Wendel [7].

We now let

$$L_X(\alpha, t) = \inf \{s \in [0, t] : X(s) = M_X(\alpha, t)\}$$

be the first, and

$$K_X(\alpha, t) = \sup \{s \in [0, t] : X(s) = M_X(\alpha, t)\},$$

the last time the process hits  $M_X(\alpha, t)$ . One can now introduce a ‘barrier’ element to the financial application by making the option worthless if the quantile is hit too early or too late. For example, this can involve calculating quantities such as  $E(h(M_X(\alpha, t)) \mathbf{1}(L_X(\alpha, t) > v, K_X(\alpha, t) < u))$ .

For the rest of the paper we assume that  $(X(s), s \geq 0)$  is a standard Brownian motion. We will derive the joint density of  $M_X(\alpha, t)$ ,  $L_X(\alpha, t)$ ,  $K_X(\alpha, t)$  and  $X(t)$ . If we denote this density by  $f(y, x, u, v)$ , our results can be generalised for a Brownian motion with drift  $m$ , using a Cameron-Martin-Girsanov transformation. The corresponding density will be  $f(y, x, u, v) \exp(mx - m^2t/2)$ .

Before we obtain the density of  $(M_X(\alpha, t), L_X(\alpha, t), K_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$ , we will first show that the law of  $L_X(\alpha, t)$  (and  $K_X(\alpha, t)$ ) is a transformed arcsine law.

## 2 An arcsine law for $L_X(\alpha, t)$ .

Let  $S_X(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{X(s)\}$  and  $\theta_X(t) = \sup \{s \in [0, t] : X(s) = S_X(t)\}$ . We prove the following theorem:

For  $u > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) > u) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq \alpha t) + \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq (1 - \alpha)t) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) \in du) = \frac{\mathbf{1}(u \leq \alpha t) + \mathbf{1}(u \leq (1 - \alpha)t)}{\pi \sqrt{u(t - u)}} du. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore,  $K_X(\alpha, t)$  has the same distribution as  $t - L_X(\alpha, t)$ .

**Proof** We will first prove that

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) > 0, L_X(\alpha, t) > u) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq \alpha t). \quad (5)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) > 0, L_X(\alpha, t) > u) &= \Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) > S_X(u)) = \\ &= \Pr\left(\int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) \leq S_X(u)) ds < \alpha t\right) = \\ &= \Pr\left(\int_u^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) - X(u) \leq S_X(u) - X(u)) ds < \alpha t\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let  $X^*(s) = X(u + s) - X(u)$ . ( $X^*(s), s \geq 0$ ) is a standard Brownian motion which is independent of  $(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq u)$ . We condition on  $S_X(u) - X(u) = c$ , and set  $\tau_c = \inf\{s > 0 : X^*(s) = c\}$  and  $X^{**}(s) = X^*(\tau_c + s) - c$ . ( $X^{**}(s), s \geq 0$ ) is a standard Brownian motion which is independent of both  $(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq u)$  and  $(X^*(s), 0 \leq s \leq \tau_c)$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr\left(\int_0^{t-u} \mathbf{1}(X^*(s) \leq c) ds < \alpha t - u\right) = \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha t - u} \Pr(\tau_c \in dr) \Pr\left(\int_0^{t-u-r} \mathbf{1}(X^{**}(s) \leq 0) ds < \alpha t - u - r\right) \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\int_0^{t-u-r} \mathbf{1}(X^{**}(s) \leq 0) ds$  has the same (arcsine) law as  $\theta_{X^{**}}(t - u - r)$ , this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\alpha t - u} \Pr(\tau_c \in dr) \Pr(\theta_{X^{**}}(t - u - r) < \alpha t - u - r) = \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha t - u} \Pr(\tau_c \in dr) \Pr\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \alpha t - u - r} X^{**}(s) > \sup_{\alpha t - u - r \leq s \leq t - u - r} X^{**}(s)\right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\Pr \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \alpha t - u} X^*(s) > \sup_{\alpha t - u \leq s \leq t - u} X^*(s), \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \alpha t - u} X^*(s) > c \right)$$

and so (6) is equal to

$$\Pr \left( \begin{array}{l} \sup_{u \leq s \leq \alpha t} X(s) - X(u) > \sup_{\alpha t \leq s \leq t} X(s) - X(u), \\ \sup_{u \leq s \leq \alpha t} X(s) - X(u) > \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} X(s) - X(u) \end{array} \right) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq \alpha t).$$

Since  $(-X(s), s \geq 0)$  is also a standard Brownian motion and  $M_{-X}(\alpha, t) = -M_X(1 - \alpha, t)$  almost surely, we use  $-X(s)$  instead of  $X(s)$  and we get

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) < 0, L_X(\alpha, t) > u) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq (1 - \alpha)t). \quad (7)$$

Adding (5) and (7) we get (3), and since  $\theta_X(t)$  has an arcsine law, (4) follows. To see that  $K_X(\alpha, t)$  has the same distribution as  $L_X(\alpha, t)$ , set  $\tilde{X}(s) = X(t - s) - X(t)$ . Clearly  $(\tilde{X}(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$  is a standard Brownian motion and we can easily see that  $M_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha, t) = M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t)$  and  $K_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha, t) = t - L_X(\alpha, t)$ .  $\square$

We can also extend our result and obtain the joint distribution of  $(M_X(\alpha, t), L_X(\alpha, t))$  (also of  $(M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t), t - K_X(\alpha, t))$ ).

For  $b > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, L_X(\alpha, t) \in du) = \Pr(S_X(t) \in db, \theta_X(t) \in du) \mathbf{1}(0 < u < \alpha t), \quad (8)$$

and for  $b < 0$ ,

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, L_X(\alpha, t) \in du) = \Pr(S_X(t) \in d|b|, \theta_X(t) \in du) \mathbf{1}(0 < u < (1 - \alpha)t). \quad (9)$$

Furthermore  $(M_X(\alpha, t), L_X(\alpha, t))$  and  $(M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t), t - K_X(\alpha, t))$  have the same distribution.

**Proof** Let  $b > 0$  and  $u < \alpha t$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) > b, L_X(\alpha, t) > u) &= \Pr(S_X(u) < M_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t) > b) = \\ &= \Pr(b < S_X(u) < M_X(\alpha, t)) + \Pr(S_X(u) < b < M_X(\alpha, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let  $\tau_b = \inf\{s > 0 : X(s) = b\}$  and  $X^*(s) = X(\tau_b + s) - c$ .  $(X^*(s), s \geq 0)$  is a standard Brownian motion which is independent of  $(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq \tau_c)$ . Using theorem 1, we have

$$\Pr(b < S_X(u) < M_X(\alpha, t)) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^u \Pr(\tau_b \in dr) \Pr\left(\int_0^{t-r} \mathbf{1}(X^*(s) \leq S_{X^*}(u-r)) < \alpha t - r\right) = \\
& \int_0^u \Pr(\tau_b \in dr) \Pr\left(M_{X^*}\left(\frac{\alpha t - r}{t - r}, t - r\right) > 0, L_{X^*}\left(\frac{\alpha t - r}{t - r}, t - r\right) > u - r\right) = \\
& \int_0^u \Pr(\tau_b \in dr) \Pr(u - r < \theta_{X^*}(t - r) < \alpha t - r) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) < \alpha t, S_X(u) > b).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(S_X(u) < b < M_X(\alpha, t)) &= \Pr\left(S_X(u) < b, \int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s) \leq b) ds < \alpha t\right) = \\
& \int_u^{\alpha t} \Pr(\tau_b \in dr) \Pr\left(\int_0^{t-r} \mathbf{1}(X^*(s) \leq 0) < \alpha t - r\right) \\
&= \int_u^{\alpha t} \Pr(\tau_b \in dr) \Pr(\theta_{X^*}(t - r) < \alpha t - r) = \\
& \Pr\left(u < \theta_X(t) < \alpha t, S_X(u) < b, \sup_{u \leq s \leq \alpha t} X(s) > b\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Adding (11) and (12) together, we see that (10) is equal to

$$\Pr\left(u < \theta_X(t) < \alpha t, \sup_{u \leq s \leq \alpha t} X(s) > b\right) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) < \alpha t, S_X(t) > b)$$

which leads to (8).

Since  $(-X(s), s \geq 0)$  is also a standard Brownian motion and  $M_{-X}(\alpha, t) = -M_X(1 - \alpha, t)$  almost surely, we use  $-X(s)$  instead of  $X(s)$  and we get that for  $b < 0$ ,

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) < b, L_X(\alpha, t) > u) = \Pr(u < \theta_X(t) \leq (1 - \alpha)t, S_X(t) > |b|),$$

which leads to (9).

To see that  $(t - K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t))$  has the same distribution as  $(L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t))$ , set again  $\tilde{X}(s) = X(t - s) - X(t)$ . Clearly  $(\tilde{X}(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$  is a standard Brownian motion and we can easily see that  $M_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha, t) = M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t)$ , (and so  $M_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha, t) - \tilde{X}(t) = M_X(\alpha, t)$ ) and  $K_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha, t) = t - L_X(\alpha, t)$ .  $\square$

**Remarks**

1. The distribution of  $(\theta_X(t), S_X(t))$  is well known (see for example Karatzas and Shreve [5], page 102. From this and theorem 2, we can deduce the density of  $(L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t))$ . This is given by

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, L_X(\alpha, t) \in du) = \frac{|b|}{\pi \sqrt{u^3(t-u)}} \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2u}\right) [\mathbf{1}(0 < u < \alpha t, b > 0) + \mathbf{1}(0 < u < (1-\alpha)t, b < 0)] db du. \quad (13)$$

2. Theorem 2 also leads to an alternative expression for the distribution of  $M_X(\alpha, t)$ ; that is

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) \in db) = \Pr(S_X(t) \in db, 0 < \theta_X(t) < \alpha t),$$

for  $b > 0$  and

$$\Pr(M_X(\alpha, t) \in db) = \Pr(S_X(t) \in d|b|, 0 < \theta_X(t) < (1-\alpha)t),$$

for  $b < 0$ .

3. Using the argument at the end of the proof, we can generalise the last assertion of the theorem and observe that  $(K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t), -X(t))$  has the same law as  $(t - L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$  and so we see that  $(K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$  and  $(t - L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t) - X(t), -X(t))$ , have the same distribution, a fact we will use in the following section.

### 3 The joint law of $(L_X(\alpha, t), K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$ .

From now on we will denote the density of  $\tau_b$  by  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ ; that is for  $v > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(\tau_b \in dv) = k(v, b) dv = \frac{2|b|}{\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2v}\right) dv. \quad (14)$$

We will also denote the joint density of  $(M_X(\frac{v}{t}, t), X(t))$  by  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ ; that is for  $0 < v < t$ ,

$$\Pr\left(M_X\left(\frac{v}{t}, t\right) \in db, X(t) \in da\right) = g(b, a, v, t) db da.$$

We can calculate  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  by using the proposition in the introduction.

$(M_X(\frac{v}{t}, t), X(t))$  has the same distribution as  $(S_{X_1}(v) - S_{X_2}(t-v), X_1(v) - X_2(t-v))$ ,

where  $(X_1(s), 0 \leq s \leq v)$  and  $(X_2(s), 0 \leq s \leq t - v)$  are independent standard Brownian motions. The density of  $(S_X(t), X(t))$  is given by

$$\Pr(S_X(t) \in db, X(t) \in da) = \frac{2(2b-a)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(2b-a)^2}{2t}\right) \mathbf{1}(b \geq 0, b \geq a) da db \quad (15)$$

(see Karatzas and Shreve [5], p.95). We observe that since (15) is bounded,  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a bounded density. For our results, we need to calculate  $g(0, 0, v, t)$ . This is the same as the value of the density of  $(M_X(\frac{v}{t}, t), M_X(\frac{v}{t}, t) - X(t))$  at  $(0, 0)$ . From (15) we see that

$$\Pr(S_X(t) \in dy, S_X(t) - X(t) \in dx) = \frac{2(y+x)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y+x)^2}{2t}\right) \mathbf{1}(y \geq 0, x \geq 0) dy dx \quad (16)$$

and it is a simple exercise to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} g(0, 0, v, t) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{2(y+x)}{\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y+x)^2}{2v}\right) \frac{2(y+x)}{\sqrt{2\pi (t-v)^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y+x)^2}{2(t-v)}\right) dx dy \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{v(t-v)}}{t^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We will now obtain a preliminary result.

For any  $u$  and  $v$ , such that  $0 < u < v < t$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, X(t) \in da, K_X(\alpha, t) > v) = \\ \Pr(\tau_b > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, X(t) \in da, K_X(\alpha, t) > v). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

**Proof** Since  $M_{-X}(\alpha, t) = -M_X(1 - \alpha, t)$ , it suffices to prove (18) for  $b > 0$ . We have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta \varepsilon} \{ \Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], K_X(\alpha, t) > v) - \\ \Pr(\tau_b > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], K_X(\alpha, t) > v) \} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let  $X^*(s) = X(s + u) - X(u)$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], K_X(\alpha, t) > v) - \\ \Pr(\tau_b > u, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], K_X(\alpha, t) > v) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(b < S_X(u) < M_X(\alpha, t) \leq b + \delta, X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], K_X(\alpha, t) > v) \leq \\
& \Pr(b < S_X(u) < M_X(\alpha, t) \leq b + \delta, X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon]) = \\
& \Pr \left( \begin{array}{c} b < S_X(u) < b + \delta, \\ S_X(u) < M_{X^*}(\alpha t - u, t - u) + X(u) \leq b + \delta, \\ X^*(t - u) + X(u) \in (a, a + \varepsilon] \end{array} \right). \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(X^*(s), 0 \leq s \leq t - u)$  is independent of  $(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq u)$ , and  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is bounded, we condition on  $S_X(u) = y$  and  $X(u) = x$  and see that there is a constant  $K$ , such that

$$\Pr \left( \begin{array}{c} y < M_{X^*}(\alpha t - u, t - u) + x \leq b + \delta, \\ X^*(t - u) + x \in (a, a + \varepsilon] \end{array} \right) \leq K\varepsilon(b + \delta - y).$$

We therefore conclude that (20) is bounded by

$$K\varepsilon E((b + \delta - S_X(u)) \mathbf{1}(b < S_X(u) < b + \delta)) \leq K\varepsilon \delta \Pr(b < S_X(u) < b + \delta)$$

and by the continuity of the distribution of  $S_X(u)$ , we see that the limit in (19) is zero.  $\square$

As a corollary we will obtain the distribution of  $(L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$ .

The law of  $(L_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) \in du, M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, X(t) \in da) = \\
& \begin{cases} k(b, u) g(0, a - b, \alpha t - u, t - u) \mathbf{1}(0 < u < \alpha t) du db da & b > 0 \\ k(b, u) g(0, a - b, \alpha t, t - u) \mathbf{1}(0 < u < \alpha t) du db da & b < 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** For  $b > 0$ , since  $(X(s + \tau_b) - X(\tau_b), 0 \leq s \leq t - \tau_b)$  is independent of  $(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq \tau_b)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(\tau_b > v, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon)) = \\
& \int_v^{\alpha t} \Pr(\tau_b \in du) \Pr(M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $b < 0$ , we use that  $M_{-X}(\alpha, t) = M_X(1 - \alpha, t)$  and so  $g(0, b - a, (1 - \alpha)t - u, t - u) = g(0, a - b, \alpha t, t - u)$ .  $\square$

We can now obtain the law of  $(L_X(\alpha, t), K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$ .

$$\Pr(L_X(\alpha, t) \in du, K_X(\alpha, t) \in dv, M_X(\alpha, t) \in db, X(t) \in da) =$$

$$\frac{2|b||b-a|dudvdbda}{\pi^2(v-u)^2\sqrt{u^3(t-v)^3}}\exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2u}-\frac{(b-a)^2}{2(t-v)}\right)\times$$

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{(v-u-(1-\alpha)t)(1-\alpha)t}\mathbf{1}(u>0, u+(1-\alpha)t<v<t) & b>0, b>a \\ \sqrt{(\alpha t-u)(v-\alpha t)}\mathbf{1}(0<u<\alpha t<v<t) & b>0, b<a \\ \sqrt{(v-u-\alpha t)\alpha t}\mathbf{1}(u>0, u+\alpha t<v<t) & b<0, b>a \\ \sqrt{((1-\alpha)t-u)(v-(1-\alpha)t)}\mathbf{1}(0<u<(1-\alpha)t<v<t) & b<0, b<a \end{cases}.$$

(22)

**Proof** We start with the case  $b > 0, b > a$ . Using (18), and choosing  $\varepsilon$  such that  $a + \varepsilon < b$ , we need to look at

$$\Pr(\tau_b \leq r, K_X(\alpha, t) \leq v, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon]) =$$

$$\Pr\left(\tau_b \leq r, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], M_X(\alpha, t) \leq \sup_{v \leq s \leq t} X(s)\right) =$$

$$\int_0^r \Pr(\tau_b \in du) \Pr\left(\begin{array}{c} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \leq \sup_{v-u \leq s \leq t-u} X(s) \end{array}\right) =$$

$$\int_0^r \Pr(\tau_b \in du) \Pr\left(\begin{array}{c} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ K_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \leq v - u \end{array}\right).$$

(23)

Using the last remark of the previous section, we then see that

$$\Pr\left(\begin{array}{c} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ K_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \leq v - u \end{array}\right) =$$

$$\Pr\left(\begin{array}{c} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) - X(t - u) \in (0, \delta], -X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ L_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \geq t - v \end{array}\right).$$

(24)

From the previous theorem we see that the density of

$$(L_X(\alpha t - u, t - u), M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) - X(t - u), -X(t - u))$$

at  $(t - v, 0, a - b)$  is

$$k(b - a, t - v)g(0, 0, v - u - (1 - \alpha)t, v - u)\mathbf{1}(0 < t - v < \alpha t - u).$$

Combining this with (23) we get that  $(L_X(\alpha, t), K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$  has a continuous density at  $(u, v, b, a)$  that is given by

$$k(b, u)k(b - a, t - v)g(0, 0, v - u - (1 - \alpha)t, v - u)\mathbf{1}(u > 0, u + (1 - \alpha)t < v < t).$$

(25)

We now look at the case  $b > 0, b < a$ . Using (18), and choosing  $\delta$  such that  $b + \delta < a$ , we need to look at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(\tau_b \leq r, K_X(\alpha, t) > v, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon]) = \\
& \Pr\left(\tau_b \leq r, M_X(\alpha, t) \in (b, b + \delta], X(t) \in (a, a + \varepsilon], M_X(\alpha, t) < \inf_{v \leq s \leq t} X(s)\right) = \\
& \int_0^r \Pr(\tau_b \in du) \Pr\left(\begin{array}{l} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) < \inf_{v - u \leq s \leq t - u} X(s) \end{array}\right) = \\
& \int_0^r \Pr(\tau_b \in du) \Pr\left(\begin{array}{l} M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) \in (0, \delta], X(t - u) \in (a - b, a - b + \varepsilon], \\ K_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) < v - u \end{array}\right). \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (24) and the previous theorem we see that the density of

$$(L_X(\alpha t - u, t - u), M_X(\alpha t - u, t - u) - X(t - u), -X(t - u))$$

at  $(t - v, 0, a - b)$  is

$$k(b - a, t - v) g(0, 0, \alpha t - u, v - u) \mathbf{1}(\alpha t < v).$$

Combining this with (23) we get that  $(L_X(\alpha, t), K_X(\alpha, t), M_X(\alpha, t), X(t))$  has a continuous density at  $(u, v, b, a)$  that is given by

$$k(b, u) k(b - a, t - v) g(0, 0, \alpha t - u, v - u) \mathbf{1}(0 < u < \alpha t < v < t). \tag{27}$$

Substituting (14) and (17) into (25) and (27), we get the first two legs of (22). Considering  $(-X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$  and observing that  $M_{-X}(\alpha, t) = -M_X(1 - \alpha, t)$ ,  $L_{-X}(\alpha, t) = L_X(1 - \alpha, t)$  and  $K_{-X}(\alpha, t) = K_X(1 - \alpha, t)$  yields the rest of (22).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Akahori, J., (1995), Some formulae for a new type of path-dependent option, *Ann. Appl. Prob.*, **5**, 383-388.
- [2] Dassios, A., (1995), The distribution of the quantiles of a Brownian motion with drift and the pricing of related path-dependent options, *Ann. Appl. Prob.*, **5**, 389-398.
- [3] Dassios, A., (1996), Sample quantiles of stochastic processes with stationary and independent increments, *Ann. Appl. Prob.*, **6**, 1041-1043.

- [4] Embrechts P., Rogers, L.C.G., and Yor, M., (1995), A proof of Dassios' representation of the  $\alpha$ -quantile of Brownian motion with drift, *Ann. Appl. Prob.*, **5**, 757-767.
- [5] Karatzas I. and S.E. Shreve, (1988), *Brownian motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] Miura, R., (1992), A note on a look-back option based on order statistics, *Hitosubashi Journal of Commerce and Management*, **27**, 15-28.
- [7] Wendel, J.G., (1960), Order statistics of partial sums, *Ann. of Math. Stat.*, **31**, 1034-1044.