Iterates of the infinitesimal generator and space-time harmonic polynomials of a Markov process.

Pauline Barrieu [1], Wim Schoutens [2]

Abstract. We relate iterates of the infinitesimal generator of a Markov process to space-time harmonic functions. First, we develop the theory for a general Markov process and create a family a space-time martingales. Next, we investigate the special class of subordinators. Combinatorics results on space-time harmonic polynomials and generalized Stirling numbers are developed and interpreted from a probabilistic point of view. Finally, we introduce the notion of pairs of subordinators in duality, investigate the implications on the associated martingales and consider some explicit examples.

Key words: Markov process, Lévy processes, subordinators, space-time harmonic polynomials, martingales, infinitesimal generator, Stirling numbers.

1 Introduction

Fundamental martingale-additive functionals can be associated to a nice Markov process X_t. There are of the type:

$$M_t(f) \triangleq f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t L_e(f)(X_s)\, ds,$$

where L_e is the (extended) infinitesimal generator of X and f is any measurable function belonging to the domain of L_e. These martingales generate, in the Kunita-Watanabe sense, the set of all the martingales of the Markov process.

In this paper, from the martingales $M_t(f)$, we create a family of similar space-time martingales obtained by using some formulae involving the iterates of the generator. We illustrate this construction in the case of the Brownian motion and the Poisson process in section 2 of the paper. Section 3 is devoted to the case of subordinators. Results from combinatorics (see e.g. Stanley [34]) involving space-time harmonic polynomials and generalized Stirling numbers are developed and interpreted from a probabilistic point of view. Many connections between stochastic processes and combinatorics can be found in Pitman's Saint-Flour course [26].

---

[1]London School of Economics, Statistics department, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom. e-mail: p.m.barrieu@lse.ac.uk.
[2]K.U. Leuven, U.C.S., W. De Croylaan 54, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.

2 Iterates of the infinitesimal generator and associated martingales

2.1 Definition of the extended infinitesimal generator L_e

In this section, we consider a general Markov process $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ taking values in the measurable state space $(E, \mathcal{E})$ and endowed with the laws $(P_x, x \in E)$ such that:

$$P_x(X_0 = x) = 1, \qquad \text{for each } x.$$

The notion of extended (infinitesimal) generator L_e associated with the Markov process X was first introduced by Kunita [17] and [18] and is quite convenient to exhibit important sets of martingales (under all P_x's) associated to X. More precisely,

Definition 2.1 *Let f be a measurable function on E such that there exists a function $g : E \to \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$M_t(f) \triangleq f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t g(X_s) \, ds$$

is a (P_x)-martingale for all x, then f is said to belong to D_e, the domain of L_e, the operator defined on D_e as:

$$L_e(f) = g.$$

Some assumptions are needed regarding the function g. In particular, g may be assumed to be bounded, but the weaker assumption

$$\int_0^t |g(X_s)| \, ds < \infty \quad P_x \ a.s., \text{ for all } x \text{ and } t.$$

is sufficient.

This definition extends that of any "stronger" infinitesimal generator L (for more details, please refer for instance, to Meyer [22] and [23], Mokobodzki [24] or Dellacherie and Meyer [9] in chapter XV and its errata in the last two pages of [10]). In particular, the martingale $M_t(f)$ is introduced in formula (2) page 130 of Meyer [22].

We then define iterates of L_e in the obvious manner, i.e.,

$$L_e^n = L_e\left(L_e^{n-1}\right), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad \text{and} \quad L_e^0 f = f. \tag{1}$$

As a particular example, we consider the case

$$f(.) = U^p(h)(.) \equiv E_. \left[\int_0^\infty dt \exp(-pt) h(X_t)\right]$$

for h bounded and Borel in $D_e\left(L^{n-1}\right)$ and p a positive real number.
It is a well-known result that

$$L_e f = pU^p(h) - h \equiv pf - h \tag{2}$$

from which, more generally, the iterates of the infinitesimal generator L_e computed on f can easily be derived as

$$\begin{cases} L_e^n f = p^n f - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^k L_e^{n-(k+1)} h\right), & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}^*; \\ L_e^0 f = f. \end{cases}$$

2.2 General result

The first result of this note is the following:

Proposition 2.2 *For every $T \in \mathbb{R}$, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in D\left(L_e^{N+1}\right)$, the process*

$$M_t^{N,T}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(T-t)^n}{n!} L_e^n f(X_t) - \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^N}{N!} L_e^{N+1} f(X_s)\, ds \qquad (3)$$

is a martingale. More precisely, it satisfies

$$M_t^{N,T}(f) - M_0^{N,T}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^n}{n!} dM_s\left(L_e^n f\right).$$

For a general semi-martingale version of this result, see exercise 6.17 of Chaumont and Yor [8].

2.3 Some comments

2.3.1 Some general remarks on Formula (3)

We might call formula (3) a stochastic Taylor formula for the following reason. Indeed, if $X_t = x + \alpha t$ is the deterministic constant velocity (Markov) process, starting from x, then:

$$\text{For any } C^1 \text{ function } f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad L_e f(x) = \alpha f'(x).$$

So that formula (3) becomes:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-\alpha t)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x+\alpha t) + (-\alpha)^{N+1} \int_0^t ds\, \frac{s^N}{N!} f^{(N+1)}(x+\alpha s) \qquad (4)$$

since, for this degenerate Markov process, the martingale given in equation (3) is identically equal to its value for $t = 0$. And identity (4) is nothing else but Taylor's expansion at order N, around $(x + \alpha t)$, for f considered between x and $x + \alpha t$.

However, we shall refrain from using the terminology "stochastic Taylor formula", as this term is already used in a number of different contexts. In particular Azencott [3] considers Taylor expansion with respect to a parameter ε, near $\varepsilon = 0$, of a family of solutions of SDE's depending on ε. Another variant has also been recently developed by Schoutens and Studer [32], who iterate Itô's formula for the Poisson process.

2.3.2 Proper functions

Moreover, applying formula (3) to the particular case of proper functions, i.e. functions f such that there exists a parameter λ and $L_e(f) = \lambda f$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^n}{n!} f(X_t) - \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^N}{N!} \lambda^{N+1} f(X_s)\, ds$$

is a martingale. Then, the well-known result of $\exp(-\lambda t) f(X_t)$ being a martingale is immediate, letting N tend to ∞ and considering the case $T = 0$.

2.3.3 Polynomial case

Finally, a very particular and interesting situation is that of polynomials. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ and consider a Markov process $(X_t; t \geq 0)$ such that L_e has the following stability property with respect to polynomials:

$$L_e\left(\mathcal{P}_n\right) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n-1}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n$ is the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to n.

Then, applying Proposition 2.2 to polynomials leads to an interesting family of space-time harmonic polynomials in the sense that we obtain a sequence of polynomials in both variables x and t. Moreover, denoting by Π this polynomial, $\Pi\left(X_t, t\right)$ is a martingale so that

$$\left(L_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \Pi = 0.$$

More precisely,

Proposition 2.3 *Let* $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_N$. *Then :*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \left(L_e^n \mathbf{p}\right)(x) \tag{6}$$

is a space-time harmonic polynomial, in other terms :

$$M_t^{N,0}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \left(L_e^n \mathbf{p}\right)(X_t)$$

is a martingale.

We indicate two directions towards which we shall go in the sequel of our paper:

1. We shall look for a sequence $(p_N)_{N=0,1,2,\ldots}$ of polynomials in x with respective degrees N, such that

$$L_e^n\left(p_N\right) = p_{N-n}, \qquad n \leq N.$$

 In this case, the formula (6) reduces to a space-time harmonic polynomial:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} p_{N-n}(x). \tag{7}$$

 We shall consider especially this notion of space-time harmonic polynomials when working with subordinators.

2. However, it would be a pity to limit ourselves to the hypothesis (5) as there are many interesting cases of real-valued diffusions for which

$$L_e\left(\mathcal{P}_n\right) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n \tag{8}$$

 holds and (5) is not satisfied, as we will see later in Subsection 2.5.3. In fact, Mazet [19] obtains a characterization of diffusion semigroups which is related to the property (8). Mazet's definition of a diffusion is that its infinitesimal generator L_e acts on polynomials with the "Itô rule":

$$L_e\left(\Phi\left(f\right)\right) = \Phi^{'}\left(f\right) L_e f + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{"}\left(f\right) \left[L_e\left(f^2\right) - 2f L_e f\right]$$

where Φ and f are polynomials. He then shows that, again on the space of polynomials, L is equal to:

$$\left(Ax^2 + Bx + C\right) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (ax + b) \frac{d}{dx}$$

thus, obtaining a five-parameter family of Markov processes which satisfy (8). This discussion is also held in various references among which the S.M.F. publication by Ane *et al.* [2].

2.3.4 Some heuristic considerations

Before proving Proposition 2.2, we begin with the following heuristic consideration: for any bounded measurable function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ and for $0 \le t \le T$, if $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\left(X_s; 0 \le s \le t\right)$, the process

$$E_x\left(f\left(X_T\right)/\mathcal{F}_t\right) = \mathcal{P}_{T-t}f\left(X_t\right) \tag{9}$$

is a martingale.

Now $\mathcal{P}_u$ is "generated" by L_e, the extended infinitesimal generator, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_u = \exp\left(uL_e\right),$$

so that formula (9) may be written informally as

$$E_x\left(f\left(X_T\right)/\mathcal{F}_t\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(T-t)^n}{n!} L_e^n f\left(X_t\right). \tag{10}$$

For nice f's, the right hand side of this equation is meaningful for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \ge 0$. This defines a martingale.

2.4 Proof of Proposition 2.2

In order to prove Proposition 2.2, we proceed in several steps:

(i) For $N = 0$, we have

$$M_t^{0,T}\left(f\right) = M_t\left(f\right) + f\left(X_0\right).$$

Hence, this is a martingale.

(ii) For $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, consider

$$M_t^{N,T}\left(f\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{(T-t)^n}{n!} L_e^n f\left(X_t\right) + \Delta_t^{N,T}\left(f\right),$$

where

$$\Delta_t^{N,T}\left(f\right) = \frac{(T-t)^N}{N!} \left(L_e^N f\right)\left(X_t\right) - \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^N}{N!} L_e^{N+1} f\left(X_s\right) ds.$$

which, using integration by parts, is equal to

$$\Delta_t^{N,T}\left(f\right) = \frac{T^N}{N!} L_e^N f\left(X_0\right) + \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^N}{N!} dM_s\left(L_e^N f\right) - \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} L_e^N f\left(X_s\right) ds.$$

Consequently, we have obtained

$$M_t^{N,T}(f) = M_t^{N-1,T}(f) + \frac{T^N}{N!}L_e^N f(X_0) + \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^N}{N!}dM_s\left(L_e^N f\right).$$

Iterating this formula, we get

$$M_t^{N,T}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{T^n}{n!}L_e^n f(X_0) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^n}{n!}dM_s\left(L_e^n f\right).$$

Or equivalently

$$M_t^{N,T}(f) = M_0^{N,T}(f) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^t \frac{(T-s)^n}{n!}dM_s\left(L_e^n f\right).$$

Hence $\left(M_t^{N,T}(f), t \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale. $\square$

2.5 Some examples

2.5.1 Brownian motion

Let us consider on a probability space $(\Omega, \Im, \mathbb{P})$, a standard $\mathbb{P}$-Brownian motion $(W_t; t \geq 0)$ and a function f which belongs to $C^{2(N+1)} \subset D\left(L_e^{N+1}\right)$. Applying Itô's lemma to $f(W_t)$, we obtain the characterization of the generator L_e as

$$L_e(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x).$$

This case corresponds to

$$L_e(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n-2}$$

Hence, by a simple recurrence argument, we get the iterates of the generator as

$$\forall 0 \leq n \leq N, \qquad L_e^n(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{d^{2n}f}{dx^{2n}}(x).$$

According to Proposition 2.2

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{(-t)^n}{n!}\frac{d^{2n}f}{dx^{2n}}(W_t) + (-1)^{N+1}\int_0^t ds\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\frac{s^N}{N!}\frac{d^{2(N+1)}f}{dx^{2(N+1)}}(W_s) \qquad (11)$$

is a martingale.

Let now f be a polynomial of degree N. For instance

$$f(x) = x^N.$$

whose derivatives are obviously computed. Denoting by $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$ the integer part of $\frac{N}{2}$, formula (11) simply becomes

$$M_t^{N,0} \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{(-t)^n}{n!}N(N-1)\ldots(N-2n+1)(W_t)^{N-2n} = N!\left(\sqrt{2t}\right)^N \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\frac{\left(\frac{W_t}{\sqrt{2t}}\right)^{N-2n}}{(N-2n)!}$$

6

Therefore, the process $\left(M_t^{N,0}; t \geq 0\right)$ is a martingale.

Moreover, this process can be expressed in terms of the Nth Hermite polynomials. More precisely, using the following definition of the Hermite polynomials, found in Koekoek and Swarttouw [16]

$$H_N(x) = N! \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{(2x)^{N-2n}}{(N-2n)!},$$

we directly obtain the following equality:

$$M_t^{N,0} = \left(\sqrt{2t}\right)^N H_N \left(\frac{W_t}{\sqrt{2t}}\right).$$

Hence, the standard result that the above version of the Hermite polynomials are martingales is easily found in this framework (see for instance Schoutens and Teugels [33] and Schoutens [31]).

Remark 2.4 *Another way to obtain some relationship between Brownian motion and Hermite polynomials is to compute conditional moments:*

$$E\left(W_T^N | \mathcal{F}_t\right) = H_N \left(\frac{W_t}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}\right)(\sqrt{2(T-t)})^N \quad ; \quad t < T.$$

2.5.2 Poisson process

Let us consider a probability space $(\Omega, \Im, \mathbb{P})$ and $(N_t; t \geq 0)$ a $\mathbb{P}$-Poisson process with intensity parameter 1. Moreover, let f be a function which belongs to $D\left(L_e^{K+1}\right)$ where $K \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Applying Itô's lemma to $f(N_t)$, we obtain the characterization of the generator L_e as

$$L_e(f)(x) = f(x+1) - f(x).$$

Let now f be defined as

$$f(x) = p_K(x) = \frac{[x]_K}{K!},$$

where

$$[x]_n = x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Applying Itô's lemma to $f(N_t)$, we obtain the explicit characterization of the generator L_e and the following recurrence relation:

$$L_e(p_K) = p_{K-1}.$$

Note that we are precisely in the case

$$L_e(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n-1}.$$

Hence, using Proposition 2.2, the following process

$$M_t^{K,0} \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} L_e^n(p_K)(N_t) = \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} p_{K-n}(N_t) = \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \frac{[N_t]_{K-n}}{(K-n)!}$$

is a martingale.

7

Moreover, this process $\left(M_t^{K,0}; t \geq 0 \right)$ can be related to Charlier polynomials. More precisely, using the following definition of the Charlier polynomials, found in Koekoek and Swarttouw [16]:

$$C_K(x,t) = K! \sum_{n=0}^{K} \frac{[x]_{K-n}}{(K-n)!} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!}$$

we directly obtain the following equality:

$$M_t^{K,0} = \frac{C_K(N_t, t)}{K!}.$$

Hence, the close relationship existing between Poisson process and Charlier polynomials is underlined in this equality (see also Schoutens and Teugels [33]).

Remark 2.5 *Tsilevich and Vershik develop in [36] some isomorphism between the Fock spaces of Brownian motion and the Poisson process which relates the Hermite and Charlier polynomials.*

2.5.3 Exponential of Brownian motion

Let us consider a probability space $(\Omega, \Im, \mathbb{P})$, a standard $\mathbb{P}$-Brownian motion $(W_t; t \geq 0)$, the following process $(X_t; t \geq 0)$ defined as

$$X_t = \exp(W_t)$$

and a function f which belongs to $D\left(L_e^{N+1} \right)$.

Applying Itô's lemma to $f(X_t)$, we obtain the expression of the generator L_e on C^2 functions as

$$L_e(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x^2 f^{"}(x) + x f'(x) \right).$$

Hence, by a simple recurrence argument, we get its iterates as

$$\forall 0 \leq n \leq N, \qquad L_e^n(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{2k}(x) \frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x),$$

where $\phi_{2k}(x)$ is the Bell polynomial of degree $2k$. More precisely, the Bell polynomials of degree n are generated by

$$\phi_n(x) = x \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \phi_{k-1}(x) \quad ; \quad \phi_0(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n(1) = B_n$$

where $\binom{n}{k}$ are the binomial coefficients and B_n are Bell numbers given by $B_n = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^n}{k!}$.

Therefore, according to Proposition 2.2

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{2k}(X_t) \frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(X_t) + (-1)^{N+1} \int_0^t ds \frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \frac{s^N}{N!} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \phi_{2k}(X_s) \frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(X_s) \tag{12}$$

is a martingale.

Let now f be a polynomial of degree N. For example

$$f(x) = x^N.$$

The different derivatives of the function f are explicitly known. In particular, one has

$$L_e(f)(x) = \frac{N^2}{2} x^N$$

and

$$\forall 0 \le n \le N, \qquad L_e^n(f)(x) = \frac{N^{2n}}{2^n} x^N.$$

Note that we are precisely in the case

$$L_e(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Hence, formula (12) simply becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_t^{N,0} & \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} L_e^n(f)(X_t) + (-1)^{N+1} \int_0^t ds \frac{s^N}{N!} L_e^{N+1}(f)(X_s) \\
& = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \frac{N^{2n}}{2^n} \right) (X_t)^N + \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{N!} \frac{N^{2(N+1)}}{2^{N+1}} \int_0^t ds \, s^N (X_s)^N
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(M_t^{N,0} ; t \ge 0 \right)$ is a martingale.

3 Space-time harmonic polynomials associated with subordinators

An important class of Markov processes are Lévy processes, i.e. processes with independent and homogeneous increments. We shall even consider the more particular case when the process is increasing, that is a subordinator (for more details on Lévy processes, please refer for instance to the books by Bertoin [4] or Sato [30]).

3.1 Framework

In the following, $(X_t; t \ge 0)$ denotes a general subordinator with no drift and Lévy measure $\nu(dy)$ such that

$$\text{for some } \varepsilon > 0, \qquad \int_0^\infty \nu(dy) \left(\exp(\varepsilon y) - 1 \right) < \infty \tag{13}$$

This condition is equivalent to requiring that

$$\text{for some } \varepsilon' > 0, \qquad \int_0^\infty \nu(dy) \, y \exp(\varepsilon' y) < \infty. \tag{14}$$

In particular, stable subordinators do not satisfy condition (13), or (14) even for $\varepsilon' = 0$!

Denote, for any $\xi > 0$, the associated Lévy exponent

$$\Psi(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \nu(dy) \left(1 - \exp(-\xi y) \right)$$

so that $(\exp(-\xi X_t + \Psi(\xi)t), t \geq 0)$ is a martingale.

The expression of the infinitesimal generator L_e of X, acting on a function f, is written as

$$L_e f(x) = \int \nu(dy) \left[f(x+y) - f(x) \right].$$

Note that it is well defined for any polynomial f.

As previously, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_n$ the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to n. In this framework, we always have:

$$L_e(\mathcal{P}_n) = \mathcal{P}_{n-1}.$$

Indeed, let us consider the following polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_n$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k x^k.$$

Then

$$L_e(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{f}_k x^k \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{f}_k = \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \binom{l+1}{k} f_{l+1} \nu_{(l+1-k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{(m)} = \int \nu(dy) y^m \qquad (< \infty \text{ for } m \geq 1),$$

$$(15)$$

where $\binom{l}{k}$ are the Binomial numbers.

It follows from equation (15) that the coefficients $(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n)$ can be retrieved from $\left(\widetilde{f}_k; k = 0, 1, ..., n-1 \right)$. Hence the result:

$$L_e(\mathcal{P}_n) = \mathcal{P}_{n-1}.$$

3.2 Space-time harmonic polynomials

First note that the associated Lévy exponent Ψ is strictly increasing from $[0; \infty)$ to $[0; \widetilde{\nu})$ where $\widetilde{\nu} = \int_0^\infty \nu(dy)$ $(\leq \infty)$. Thus Ψ^{-1} is well-defined on $[0; \widetilde{\nu})$.

Developing the following expressions

$$\exp(-\xi x + t\Psi(\xi)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi^j Q_j(x,t) \quad \text{and} \quad \exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}(a) x + ta\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i P_i(x,t),$$

we obtain two sequences of polynomials in both variables x and t, with P_i and Q_j respectively of degree i and j in either variable x and t. Moreover, for $\Pi = P_i$ or Q_j, $\Pi(X_t, t)$ is a martingale so that

$$\left(L_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \Pi = 0.$$

There has been a long standing interest in such functions Π, which are called *space-time harmonic* (relative to X).

3.3 Main results

The following theorems are related to results in the combinatorics literature (see e.g. Exercise 5.37 in Stanley [34]) as was pointed out to us by Jim Pitman. Here, we make some connections with stochastic processes.

Theorem 3.1 *Consider a sequence of polynomials* $(p_N(x))_{N=0,1,2...}$, *exactly of degree* N, *satisfying the condition:*

$$(c1) : p_0 \equiv 1 \quad and \quad p_N(0) = 0, \quad \forall N \geq 1.$$

Then, the following properties are equivalent:

(i) $L(p_N) = p_{N-1} \quad \forall N \geq 1$;

(ii) The polynomials $P_N(x,t)$ *defined by:*

$$P_N(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k t^{N-k}}{(N-k)!} p_k(x) \tag{16}$$

are space-time harmonic;

(iii) $(p_N(x))_{N=0,1,2...}$ *is the sequence obtained from the development of:*

$$\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}(a)x\right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-a)^N p_N(x). \tag{17}$$

More precisely, defining the double sequence $\sigma_n^{(m)}$ $(n \geq m)$ *as follows:*

$$\left(\Psi^{-1}(a)\right)^m = m! \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{(m)} \frac{a^n}{n!}, \tag{18}$$

there is the formula for the polynomial p_N:

$$p_N(x) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{m=0}^{N} (-1)^{N-m} \sigma_N^{(m)} x^m. \tag{19}$$

Looking at equation (7), it is also natural to exchange the role of x and t, i.e. to look for a sequence $(q_N)_{N=0,1,2,...}$ of polynomials in t with respective degrees N, such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} q_{N-n}(t)$$

is a space-time harmonic polynomial.

Theorem 3.2 *Consider a sequence of polynomials* $(q_k(t))_{k=0,1,2...}$, *exactly of degree* k, *satisfying the condition:*

$$(c2) : q_0 \equiv 1 \quad and \quad q_k(0) = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

Then, the following properties are equivalent:

(i) The polynomials $Q_j(x,t)$ *defined by:*

$$Q_j(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{(-x)^{j-k}}{(j-k)!} q_k(t) \tag{20}$$

11

are space-time harmonic;

(ii) $(q_k(t))_{k=0,1,2\ldots}$ *is the sequence obtained from the development of:*

$$\exp(t\Psi(\xi)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^n q_n(t). \tag{21}$$

More precisely, defining the double sequence $s_n^{(m)}$ *($n \geq m$) as follows:*

$$(\Psi(\xi))^m = m! \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} s_n^{(m)} \frac{\xi^n}{n!}, \tag{22}$$

there is the formula for the polynomial q_n:

$$q_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{n} s_n^{(m)} t^m. \tag{23}$$

Note that some similar results are presented in Pitman's Saint Flour course [26] (chapter 2) when he studies moments of Lévy processes and relates them to sequences of polynomials of Binomial type.

3.3.1 An introduction to certain generalized Stirling numbers

The classical Stirling numbers and the Gamma process: If the subordinator process is the Gamma process $(\Gamma_t; t \geq 0)$ i.e.:

$$E\left[\exp(-\xi\Gamma_t)\right] = \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^t},$$

so that:

$$\Psi_\Gamma(\xi) = \log(1+\xi) \quad , \quad \Psi_\Gamma^{-1}(a) = (\exp(a) - 1),$$

then $\left(\sigma_n^{(m)}\right)$ are the classical Stirling numbers of the second kind, defined by (see e.g. Abramovitz and Stegun [1], chapter 24 page 824):

$$(\exp(a) - 1)^m = m! \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{(m)} \frac{a^n}{n!}$$

and $\left(s_n^{(m)}\right)$ are the classical Stirling numbers of the first kind, defined by (see for e.g. Abramovitz and Stegun [1] chapter 24 page 824):

$$(\log(1+\xi))^m = m! \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} s_n^{(m)} \frac{\xi^n}{n!}.$$

The classical Stirling numbers and the Poisson process: If the subordinator process is the Poisson process $(\eta_t; t \geq 0)$ i.e.:

$$E\left[\exp(-\xi\eta_t)\right] = \exp\left[-t(1 - \exp(-\xi))\right],$$

so that:

$$\Psi_\eta(\xi) = 1 - \exp(-\xi) \quad , \quad \Psi_\eta^{-1}(a) = -\log(1-a).$$

The classical Stirling numbers of the first and the second kind may be related to the Poisson process, with their role inverted in comparison with the Gamma process case.

A generalization of the Stirling numbers: From the two above examples, the coefficients $\left(\sigma_n^{(m)}\right)$ and $\left(s_n^{(m)}\right)$ deserve the name of generalized Stirling numbers of the second, resp. first, kind.

We are well aware that the terminology "generalized Stirling numbers" designates a vast class of generalizations of Stirling numbers (see, e.g. Hsu and Shiue [14] or Charalambides and Singh [7]).

The generalized Stirling numbers, which appear in e.g. these two papers do not necessarily fit into our framework. We shall show later that the intersection between our generalized Stirling numbers and those of e.g. Hsu and Shiue [14] is however reasonably large. In particular, our generalized Stirling numbers associated with the Esscher transformed stable subordinators, whose Lévy measure is:

$$\nu\left(dy\right) = C\frac{\exp\left(-ay\right)}{y^{1+b}}dy, \qquad \text{for } b < 1,$$

appear in the generalized Stirling numbers' family proposed in Hsu and Shiue [14].

These subordinators are well-known to play an essential role in the study of the Poisson-Dirichlet laws (see e.g. Pitman and Yor [27]).

3.4 Proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2

3.4.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Since $P_N\left(x,t\right)$ is a space-time harmonic polynomial, it satisfies:

$$L_x\left(P_N\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}P_N = 0 \ ,$$

where, for clarity, L_x denotes the operator L acting on a function of x.

This yields:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{t^{N-k}}{(N-k)!}L_x\left(p_k\right)\left(x\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{t^{N-k-1}}{(N-k-1)!}p_k\left(x\right) = 0.$$

Identifying the coefficients of t^k $(0 \leq k \leq N)$ gives (i).

$(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$ $\diamond$ *Existence of the p_N's satisfying $(c1)$ and (iii) $(: (iii) \Rightarrow (i))$*
Since the process

$$\left\{\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}\left(a\right)X_t + ta\right), t \geq 0\right\}$$

is a martingale, we have:

$$L_x\left(\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}\left(a\right)x\right)\right) = -a\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}\left(a\right)x\right). \tag{24}$$

Developing $\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}\left(a\right)x\right)$ with the help of formula (17) on both sides of equation (24), we obtain (i). We note that the property $(c1)$ follows directly from equation (17) by taking $x = 0$.

$\diamond$ *Uniqueness of the p_N's $(: (i) \Rightarrow (iii))$*
For $N \geq 1$, we write:

$$p_N\left(x\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k,N}x^k$$

and we need to show that the double sequence $(F_{k,N})_{k \leq N}$ is uniquely determined from $(c1)$ and (i). From the formula:

$$Lf(x) = \int \nu(dy) [f(x+y) - f(x)],$$

which is valid at least for polynomials, we easily obtain:

$$L(p_N)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x^j \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{N} \binom{k}{j} F_{k,N} \right) \nu_{(k-j)},$$

where:

$$\nu_{(m)} \overset{(def)}{=} \int \nu(dy) y^m$$

is the mth moment of ν.

Thus, the property (i):

$$L(p_N) = p_{N-1}$$

amounts to:

$$\forall j \leq N - 1, \qquad \sum_{l=j}^{N-1} \left(\binom{l+1}{j} \nu_{(l+1-j)} \right) F_{l+1,N} = F_{j,N-1}. \tag{25}$$

But this linear system of equations with the unknowns $(F_{k,N})_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ admits one and only one solution since it may be written as :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{1}{0}\nu_{(1)} & \binom{2}{0}\nu_{(2)} & \binom{3}{0}\nu_{(3)} & \cdots & \binom{N}{0}\nu_{(N)} \\ 0 & \binom{2}{1}\nu_{(1)} & \binom{3}{1}\nu_{(2)} & \cdots & \binom{N}{1}\nu_{(N-1)} \\ 0 & 0 & \binom{3}{2}\nu_{(1)} & \cdots & \binom{N}{2}\nu_{(N-2)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \binom{N}{N-1}\nu_{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{1,N} \\ F_{2,N} \\ F_{3,N} \\ \cdots \\ F_{N,N} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{0,N-1} \\ F_{1,N-1} \\ F_{2,N-1} \\ \cdots \\ F_{N-1,N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the vector $(F_{k,N})_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ is determined uniquely.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ As written previously, for any $a > 0$, the process $\{\exp(-\Psi^{-1}(a) X_t + ta), t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale. We recall the definition of the p_m's via (iii):

$$\exp(-\Psi^{-1}(a) x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-a)^m p_m(x).$$

On the other hand:

$$\exp(ta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(at)^n}{n!}.$$

Hence

$$\exp(-\Psi^{-1}(a) x + ta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-a)^m p_m(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(at)^n}{n!}$$

14

and

$$\exp\left(-\Psi^{-1}\left(a\right)x+ta\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a^{i}P_{i}\left(x,t\right),\tag{26}$$

where

$$P_{i}\left(x,t\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{i}\frac{\left(-1\right)^{k}t^{i-k}}{\left(i-k\right)!}p_{k}\left(x\right)$$

is a sequence of space-time harmonic polynomials. $\square$

3.4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

$(ii)\Rightarrow(i)$ Recall that for any $\xi>0$, the process $\{\exp\left(-\xi X_{t}+t\Psi\left(\xi\right)\right),t\geq0\}$ is a martingale. It follows from the classical formula:

$$\exp\left(-\xi x\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left(-\xi x\right)^{m}}{m!}$$

together with (19) that:

$$\exp\left(-\xi x+t\Psi\left(\xi\right)\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left(-\xi x\right)^{m}}{m!}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\xi^{n}q_{n}\left(t\right).$$

From which, if we define the sequence Q_{j} by:

$$\exp\left(-\xi x+t\Psi\left(\xi\right)\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\xi^{j}Q_{j}\left(x,t\right),\tag{27}$$

where

$$Q_{j}\left(x,t\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{j}\frac{\left(-x\right)^{j-k}}{\left(j-k\right)!}q_{k}\left(t\right).$$

Thus, from equation (27) the Q_{j}'s are space-time harmonic polynomials.

$(i)\Rightarrow(ii)$ Consider the family $(q_{0},q_{1},...,q_{j})$ to be known. We want to determine q_{j+1}. According to (i), $Q_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)$ is space-time harmonic. Hence:

$$L_{x}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)\left(x,t\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)=0.$$

Such an equation is valid for every x, especially for $x=0$:

$$L_{x}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)\left(0,t\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q_{j+1}\left(0,t\right)=0.\tag{28}$$

Let us denote $\widehat{Q}_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)$ the following polynomial:

$$\widehat{Q}_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)=Q_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)-q_{j+1}\left(t\right),$$

i.e. the polynomial composed by the $(j+1)$ first terms of $Q_{j+1}\left(x,t\right)$, as given in (20).

Hence, equation (28) may also be written as:

$$L_x \left(\widehat{Q}_{j+1} + q_{j+1}(t) \right) (0,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\widehat{Q}_{j+1}(0,t) + q_{j+1}(t) \right] = 0,$$

which simplifies as:

$$L_x \left(\widehat{Q}_{j+1} \right) (0,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_{j+1}(t) = 0,$$

since $L_x \left(q_{j+1}(t) \right) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widehat{Q}_{j+1}(0,t) = 0$.

Moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_x \left(\widehat{Q}_{j+1} \right) (0,t) &= \int \nu(dy) \left[\widehat{Q}_{j+1}(y,t) - \widehat{Q}_{j+1}(0,t) \right] \equiv \int \nu(dy) \, \widehat{Q}_{j+1}(y,t) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{j} q_k(t) \int \nu(dy) \left[\frac{(-y)^{j+1-k}}{(j+1-k)!} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{j} q_k(t) \frac{(-1)^{j+1-k}}{(j+1-k)!} \nu_{(j+1-k)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{(n)}$ denotes the nth moment of the Lévy measure ν associated with X.

Consequently:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_{j+1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} q_k(t) \frac{(-1)^{j-k}}{(j+1-k)!} \nu_{(j+1-k)}$$

or:

$$q_{j+1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \widehat{q}_k(t) \frac{(-1)^{j-k}}{(j+1-k)!} \nu_{(j+1-k)} \tag{29}$$

where $\widehat{q}_k(t)$ is the primitive of $q_k(t)$ such that:

$$\widehat{q}_k(0) = 0$$

as to satisfy condition $(c2)$.

By recurrence, we obtain a unique sequence (q_k). Moreover, the sequence (q_n) presented in (ii) satisfies (i). Hence, it is the only sequence which satisfies (i). $\square$

Remark 3.3 *The relation (29) may simply be understood as a consequence of the martingale property of :*

$$X_t^{j+1} - \int_0^t ds L_e \left(x^{j+1} \right) (X_s) ,$$

since, by formula (34), $q_n(-t)$ is a multiple of $E[X_t^n]$.

3.5 Various comments

3.5.1 Relations between both theorems

a) Comparing formulae (26) and (27), we easily obtain the following relationship between the two sequences (P_n) and (Q_j) of space-time harmonic polynomials:

$$P_n(x,t) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^{n} j! \sigma_n^{(j)} Q_j(x,t) \tag{30}$$

Moreover, when looking deeper at formulae (16) and (20), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(-1)^{n-m}}{m!} p_{n-m}(x) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=m}^{n} j! \sigma_n^{(j)} \sum_{l=0}^{j-m} \frac{(-1)^l s_{j-l}^{(m)}}{l!(j-l)!} x^l \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} x^l \sum_{j=l+m}^{n} \frac{j! \sigma_n^{(j)} s_{j-l}^{(m)}}{(j-l)!}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this result with formula (19), i.e.:

$$p_{n-m}(x) = \frac{1}{(n-m)!} \sum_{h=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{n-m-h} \sigma_{n-m}^h x^h,$$

we deduce:

$$\sigma_{n-m}^{(h)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{m}} \sum_{l=m}^{n-h} \binom{l+h}{h} \sigma_n^{(l+h)} s_l^{(m)}. \tag{31}$$

In particular, when $h = 0$, one has the well-known formula:

$$\sum_{n=m}^{p} \sigma_p^{(n)} s_n^{(m)} = \delta_{p,m}.$$

b) Symmetrically, there exist formulae expressing the sequence (Q_j) in terms of the (P_n)'s:

$$Q_j(x,t) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^{j} n! s_j^{(n)} P_n(x,t). \tag{32}$$

The relation (32) follows from equation (26) where we have taken $a = \Psi(\xi)$, and equation (22).

3.5.2 Some remarks on the generalized Stirling numbers

Recurrence relations between the classical Stirling numbers We have shown the following results for the Stirling numbers of the first kind $\left(s_n^{(m)}\right)$ and of the second kind $\left(\sigma_n^{(m)}\right)$:

$$\sigma_{n-m}^{(h)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{m}} \sum_{l=m}^{n-h} \binom{l+h}{h} \sigma_n^{(l+h)} s_l^{(m)}.$$

17

Moreover, according to formula (25), applied to the Gamma process, we obtain that the Stirling numbers of the second kind have to satisfy the following ascending recurrence relation, with respect to N:

$$\forall j \leq N-1, \qquad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=j}^{N-1} \frac{(l+1)!}{(l+1-j)} (-1)^l \sigma_N^{(l+1)} = (-1)^j j! \sigma_{N-1}^{(j)}. \tag{33}$$

Relation between the generalized Stirling numbers and the moments of X_t and of ν As written previously:

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\xi X_t\right)\right] = \exp\left(-t\Psi\left(\xi\right)\right).$$

Developing both sides, we obtain, using equation (21):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\xi)^n}{n!} E\left[X_t^n\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^n q_n\left(-t\right).$$

Hence:

$$q_n\left(-t\right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} E\left[X_t^n\right]. \tag{34}$$

Comparing with equation (23),

$$q_n\left(-t\right) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{n} s_n^{(m)} \left(-t\right)^m,$$

we obtain:

$$E\left[X_t^n\right] = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+m} s_n^{(m)} t^m.$$

On the other hand, the moments of ν are related to those of the variables (X_t) with t varying, since from Remark 3.3:

$$E\left[X_t^{j+1}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j+1}{k} \int_0^t ds E\left(X_s^k\right) \nu_{(j+1-k)}.$$

Generalized Stirling numbers associated to the generalized stable subordinators In this section, we show that some particular class of particular generalized Stirling numbers, as defined in Hsu and Shiue [14], are in fact "our" generalized Stirling numbers for the generalized stable subordinators, whose Lévy measure is:

$$\nu\left(dy\right) = C \frac{\exp\left(-ay\right)}{y^{1+b}} dy, \qquad \text{for } b < 1. \tag{35}$$

In Theorem 2 of Hsu and Shiue [14] (page 372), we take the particular case $r = 0$, which gives:

$$\left(\frac{(1+\alpha\xi)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - 1}{\beta}\right)^k = k! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{\alpha,\beta}\left(n,k\right) \frac{\xi^n}{n!}. \tag{36}$$

We note that the left hand side of equation (36) may be obtained as:

$$\int \nu_{\alpha,\beta}\left(dy\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\xi y\right)\right),$$

18

where

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}\left(dy\right) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{y}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}y^{1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}dy.$$

We recognize the Lévy measure in equation (35), where:

$$\begin{cases} C = \frac{1}{\alpha^{1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}; \\ a = \frac{1}{\alpha}; \\ b = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

3.6 On pairs of subordinators in duality

3.6.1 Introduction: the Poisson and Gamma processes

Let us denote respectively by $(\eta_t; t \geq 0)$ and $(G_t, t \geq 0)$ the standard Poisson and Gamma processes, whose laws are characterized by:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp\left(-a\eta_t\right)\right] &= \exp\left(-t\left(1-\exp\left(-a\right)\right)\right); \\ E\left[\exp\left(-\xi G_t\right)\right] &= \exp\left(-t\log\left(1+\xi\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with obvious notation, we have

$$\Psi_\eta\left(a\right) = 1 - \exp\left(-a\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_G\left(\xi\right) = \log\left(1+\xi\right),$$

so that

$$-\Psi_G^{-1}\left(-a\right) = \Psi_\eta\left(a\right), \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad -a = \Psi_G\left(-\Psi_\eta\left(a\right)\right).$$

3.6.2 Towards a generalization

Existence of pairs of subordinators In this section, we look for pairs of subordinators X and Y which play the roles of G and η in that

$$-a = \Psi_X\left(-\Psi_Y\left(a\right)\right), \tag{37}$$

or equivalently,

$$-\Psi_X^{-1}\left(-a\right) = \Psi_Y\left(a\right). \tag{38}$$

In terms of the Lévy measures of X and Y, this may be written

$$\begin{aligned} -a &= \int \nu_X\left(dx\right)\left[1-\exp\left\{-x\left(-\Psi_Y\left(a\right)\right)\right\}\right] \\ &= \int \nu_X\left(dx\right)\left[1-\exp\left\{x\Psi_Y\left(a\right)\right\}\right] \\ &= \int \nu_X\left(dx\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{E\left[\exp\left(-aY_x\right)\right]}\right), \end{aligned}$$

so that finally

$$\int \nu_X\left(dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{E\left[\exp\left(-aY_x\right)\right]}-1\right) = a. \tag{39}$$

Given X, the existence of a subordinator Y satisfying (37) is not obvious at all.

Implications for polynomials We now discuss the symmetric roles which are played by X and Y, assumed to satisfy equation (38). Indeed, from this equation, we see that

$$\Psi_Y^{-1}(-\xi) = -\Psi_X(\xi),$$

so that the pairs (X, Y) and (Y, X) entertain the same relationship.

Raising both sides of equation (38) to the power m, we easily obtain

$$(-1)^{n+m} \sigma_n^{(m)}(X) = s_n^{(m)}(Y),$$

with obvious notation.

As a consequence, from both equations (19) and (23), we obtain

$$p_n(X; x) = q_n(Y; x).$$

Hence, from both equations (16) and (20),

$$P_n(X; x, t) = (-1)^n Q_n(Y; t, x).$$

3.6.3 A compound Poisson process example

Let us take for (X_t) the compound Poisson process with

$$\nu_X(dx) = C \exp(-\alpha x)\, dx,$$

so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_X(\xi) &= C \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-\alpha x)(1 - \exp(-x\xi)) \\
&= \frac{\frac{C\xi}{\alpha^2}}{1 + \frac{\xi}{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{40}
$$

We then show the existence of Y satisfying (39), which in this case, takes the form

$$C \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-\alpha x) \{\exp(x\Psi_Y(a)) - 1\} = a,$$

that is:

$$C \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha - \Psi_Y(a)} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\} = a.$$

It follows that

$$\Psi_Y(a) = \frac{\frac{\alpha^2 a}{C}}{1 + \frac{\alpha a}{C}}$$

which, when compared with equation (40), yields

$$\nu_Y(dy) = C' \exp(-\alpha' y)\, dy, \qquad \text{where} \quad C' = C \text{ and } \alpha' = \frac{C}{\alpha}$$

3.6.4 On generalized stable subordinators

In this paragraph, we consider the three parameters family of subordinators (X_t) given by

$$\nu_X(dx) = Cx^{\beta-1}\exp(-\alpha x)\,dx, \quad \text{for } \beta > -1. \tag{41}$$

This subordinator is related to the CGMY process introduced by Carr et al. [6] where in the bounded variations case, the CGMY process is the difference between two processes of the family (41). Moreover, we should like to call X a generalized stable subordinator, since in case $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -\gamma$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, X is a stable (γ) subordinator. But this family (41) also contains the Gamma case (for $\beta = 0$) and the compound Poisson case (for $\beta = 1$) with exponential jumps.

Case $\beta > 0$ We now compute $\Psi_X(\xi)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_X(\xi) &= C\int_0^\infty dx\; x^{\beta-1}\exp(-\alpha x)(1-\exp(-x\xi)) \\
&= C\Gamma(\beta)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^\beta} - \frac{1}{(\alpha+\xi)^\beta}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}
$$

Then, we look for Y such that

$$C\int_0^\infty dx\; x^{\beta-1}\exp(-\alpha x)\{\exp(x\Psi_Y(a))-1\} = a,$$

which yields, after some elementary computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_Y(a) &= \alpha - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^\beta} + \frac{a}{C\Gamma(\beta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \\
&= (C\Gamma(\beta))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{C\Gamma(\beta)}{\alpha^\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{C\Gamma(\beta)}{\alpha^\beta}+a\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by comparison with equation (42), we find that Y belongs to the same family, with parameters (C', α', β') given by

$$\beta' = \frac{1}{\beta} \quad ; \quad \alpha' = \frac{C\Gamma(\beta)}{\alpha^\beta} \quad ; \quad C'\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) = [C\Gamma(\beta)]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Case $-1 < \beta < 0$ We now compute $\Psi_X(\xi)$

$$\Psi_X(\xi) = C\int_0^\infty dx\; x^{\beta-1}\exp(-\alpha x)(1-\exp(-x\xi)),$$

i.e. when setting $\beta = -\gamma$,

$$\Psi_X(\xi) = C\Gamma(-\gamma)\left(\alpha^\gamma - (\alpha+\xi)^\gamma\right).$$

21

Since

$$\Gamma(-\gamma) = \frac{-1}{\gamma}\Gamma(1-\gamma),$$

we finally obtain

$$\Psi_X(\xi) = C\frac{\Gamma(1-\gamma)}{\gamma}\left((\alpha+\xi)^\gamma - \alpha^\gamma\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_X(\xi) &= C\frac{\Gamma(1+\beta)}{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^\beta} - \frac{1}{(\alpha+\xi)^\beta}\right)\\ &= C\Gamma(\beta)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^\beta} - \frac{1}{(\alpha+\xi)^\beta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, formula (42) may be extended to the case $-1 < \beta < 0$. The results of the previous case are also extended.

References

[1] M. Abramovitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical functions*. Dover Publications, 1972.

[2] C. Ane, S. Blachere, D. Chafai, P. Fougeres, I. Gentil, F. Malrieu, C. Roberto and G. Scheffer, Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques. *S.M.F.*, Panoramas et Synthèses, vol. 10, 2000.

[3] R. Azencott, Formule de Taylor stochastique et développement asymptotique d'intégrales de Feynman. *Séminaire de Probabilités XVI*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 921. Springer Verlag, p. 237-285, 1982.

[4] J. Bertoin, *Lévy processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[5] P. Bougerol, Exemples de théorèmes locaux sur les groupes résolubles, *Ann. I.H.P.*, vol. 19, p. 369-391, 1983.

[6] P. Carr, H. Geman, D. Madan and M. Yor, The Fine Structure of Asset Returns: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Business*, vol. 75 p. 305-332, 2002.

[7] C.A. Charalambides and J. Singh, A review of Stirling numbers, their generalizations and statistical applications. *Comm. Statis. Theory Methods*, vol. 17 p. 2533-2595, 1988.

[8] L. Chaumont and M. Yor, *Exercises in Probability - A guided tour from measure theory to random processes, via conditioning*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[9] C. Dellacherie and P.A. Meyer, *Probabilités et potentiel: Théorie du potentiel associé à une résolvante. Théorie des processus de Markov*. Hermann, 1987.

[10] C. Dellacherie, B. Maisonneuve and P.A. Meyer, *Probabilités et potentiel: Théorie des processus de Markov*. Hermann, 1987.

[11] P. Feinsilver, *Special functions, probability semigroups, and Hamiltonian flows*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 696, Springer Verlag, 1978.

[12] P. Feinsilver, Some classes of orthogonal polynomials associated with martingales. *Proc. of the A.M.S.*, vol. 98, n°2 p. 298-302, 1986.

[13] P. Feinsilver and R. Schott, *Algebraic structures and operator calculus*:

vol. I: *Representation and probability theory*, 1993.

vol. II: *Special functions and computer science*, 1994.

Kluwer Acad. Pub.

[14] L.C. Hsu and P.J.-S. Shiue, A unified approach to generalized Stirling numbers. *Adv. in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20 p. 366-384, 1998.

[15] K. Itô, Multiple Wiener integral. *J. Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 3, p. 157-169, 1951.

[16] R. Koekoek and R.F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue*. Report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, 1998.

[17] H. Kunita, Absolute continuity of Markov processes and generators. *Nagoya Math. J.*, vol. 36, p. 1-26, 1969.

[18] H. Kunita, Absolute continuity of Markov processes. *Séminaire de Probabilités X*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 511. Springer Verlag, p. 44-77, 1976.

[19] O. Mazet, Classification des semi-groupes de diffusion sur $\mathbb{R}$ associés à une famille de polynômes orthogonaux. *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXI*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1655. Springer Verlag, p. 40-53, 1997.

[20] E.B. McBride, *Obtaining generating functions*. Springer, 1971.

[21] H.P. McKean, Geometry of differential space. *Annals of Probability*, vol. 1, p. 197-206, 1973.

[22] P.A. Meyer, Intégrales stochastiques III. *Séminaire de Probabilités I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 39. Springer Verlag, p. 118-141, 1966.

[23] P.A. Meyer, Sur l'existence de l'opérateur carré du champ. *Séminaire de Probabilités XX*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1204. Springer Verlag, p. 30-33, 1984-1985.

[24] G. Mokobodzki, L'opérateur carré du champ: un contre-exemple". *Séminaire de Probabilités XXIII*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1372. Springer Verlag, p. 324-325, 1988.

[25] R. Pinsky, *Positive harmonic functions and diffusion: An integrated analytic and probabilistic approach*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[26] J. Pitman, Combinatorial Stochastic Processes. Technical Report no.621 Dept. Statistics, U.C. Berkeley, Lecture notes for St. Flour course, July 2002.

[27] J. Pitman and M. Yor, The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator. *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 25, n°2, p 855-900, 1997.

[28] S. Roman, *The Umbral Calculus*. Academic Press, 1984.

[29] W. Rudin, *Analyse réelle et complexe*. Masson, 1992.

[30] K. Sato, *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[31] W. Schoutens, *Stochastic processes and orthogonal polynomials. Lecture Notes in Stat.*, vol. 146, 2000.

[32] W. Schoutens and M. Studer, Short-term risk management using stochastic Taylor expansions under Lévy models. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, vol. 33, p. 173-188 (2003).

[33] W. Schoutens and J.L. Teugels, Lévy processes, polynomials and martingales. *Commun. Statist.- Stochastic Models*, vol. 14 (1 and 2), p. 335-349, 1998.

[34] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. II. Cambridge Studies, 1999.

[35] N.V. Tsilevich and A.M. Vershik, Quasi-invariance of the Gamma process and multiplicative properties of the Poisson-Dirichlet measures. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Série I 329 n°2, p. 163-168, 1999.

[36] N.V. Tsilevich and A.M. Vershik, Expansions of the L^2 spaces over general Lévy processes and Fock Factorizations. PDMI Preprint 23/2002, 2002.

[37] J.B. Walsh, The local time of Brownian sheet. *Astérisque*, vol. $52 - 53$, Temps Locaux, p. 47-62, 1978.

[38] J.B. Walsh, Propagation of singularities in the Brownian sheet. *Annals of Probability*, vol. 10, p. 278-288, 1982.

[39] N. Wiener, The homogeneous chaos. *Amer. Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 60, p. 897-936, 1930.

[40] M. Yor, Loi de l'indice du lacet Brownien et distribution de Hartman-Watson. *Z.W.*, vol. 53, p. 71-95, 1980.

[41] M. Yor, *Some aspects of Brownian motion. Part II: Some recent martingale problems.* Lectures in Mathematics. E.T.H. Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, 1997.